Rathvilla House

Rathvilla

Edenderry

Co.Offaly

20 October 2025

An Coimisiún Pleanála 64 Marlborough Street Dublin1

D01 FX63

Re: Submission/Observation on Proposed Ballinla Wind Farm Development Case Reference: PAX19.323579 Applicant: Ballinla Wind Farm Limited Location: Townlands of Leitrim, Lumville, Ballinla, Clarkeville, Ballyfore Big, Ballyfore Little, Ballyeakin and Ballykilleen, in the Barony of Coolestown By, Co. Offaly Project Website: www.ballinlawindfarmsid.ie

Dear Members o An Coimisiún Pleanála,

I am writing to you as a concerned resident on Bally pre, Co.Offalyto lodge a formal observation and objection to the proposed Ballinla Wind Farm development (the "Proposed Development"). This submission is made in accordance with the public consultation process under Section 37 on the Planning and Development Act 2000 as the project has been designated as Strategic In transcructure Development (SID). I respectfully urge the Board to requise planning permission for this project in its entirety, due to significant unresolved environmental, health, and community impacts, particularly those related to noise pollution.

## **Overview of the Proposed Development**

The Proposed Development, as outlined in the application documents available on the project website (<a href="www.ballinlawind@armsid.ie">www.ballinlawind@armsid.ie</a>) and the Board's portal, comprises up to seven wind turbines with a maximum hub height o@ 125 metres and tip height o@ 185 metres, along with associated in@rastructure including access roads, electrical substations, and cabling. Situated in a rural landscape approximately 4 km west o@ Edenderry and 24 km east o@ Tullamore, this development would occupy sensitive townlands that are home to @arming communities and wildli@e habitats. While the applicant highlights the project's potential to generate renewable energy and contribute to Ireland's climate goals, the cumulative impacts on local residents—most critically, excessive noise—have not been adequately addressed. This is especially concerning given the proximity to existing wind @arms, including the operational Cloncreen Wind Farm, which serves as a stark cautionary example.

**Unresolved Noise Issues from the Cloncreen Wind Farm** 

My primary objection centres on the potential 2br the Proposed Development to exacerbate noise pollution in an area already plagued by inadequate management o2turbine-generated sound. The Cloncreen Wind Farm, located in east Co. Offaly and operational since approximately 2022, consists o21 Vestas turbines with a combined capacity to power up to 55,000 homes. Despite its scale and the promises o21 low-impact operation, it has become a source o21 pro22 bund distress 22 br nearby residents, including mysel22 and my 23 amily.

We have endured persistent low-requency humming, amplitude modulation (the "whooshing" effect caused by blade rotation), and tonal noise that permeates our home, particularly during moderate wind conditions at night. This has led to chronic sleep deprivation, heightened anxiety, and physical symptoms such as headaches and elevated stress levels—issues corroborated by numerous complaints lodged with Offaly County Council over the past three years. For instance, Freedom or lower mation requests have revealed dozens or residents in the vicinity or Cloncreen, describing the noise as "unbearable" and "all-night humming" that severely affects mental health and daily functioning. These complaints extend beyond Cloncreen to nearby sites like Cloghan and Rhode, indicating a county-wide crisis in wind rarm noise management.

Despite repeated communiqués to the community liaison representative Tor Cloncreen—sent via email our queries regarding noise receptors, baseline noise measurements, and compliance monitoring have gone unanswered. We specifically requested details on how ambient baselines were established under the Wind Energy Development Guidelines 2006 (as amended), including the methodology Tor identitying sensitive receptors within 500-750 metres oturbines and the use otindependent acoustic surveys. To date, no substantive response has been provided, nor has any remedial action been taken, such as the deployment otal impartial acoustic consultant as recommended by affected residents' groups like the Communities & Environmental Protection Alliance (CEPA). This Tailure to address existing issues undermines the applicant's credibility and raises serious doubts about their ability to mitigate similar problems at Ballinla.

The Cloncreen experience aligns with broader precedents in Ireland, where courts have recognised wind turbine noise as a actionable private nuisance. In a landmark 2024 High Court ruling, residents near an operational wind arm were awarded damages or "unreasonable interarence" with their homes' enjoyment, emphasising that noise assessments must consider not only decibel limits but also qualitative actors like tonality and inareound under the Deara Noise Statutory Nuisance Complaint Methodology. Current noise levels from Cloncreen already exceed World Health Organization (WHO) 2018 guidelines for community noise exposure in many instances, yet enforcement remains lax. Approving Ballinla without resolving these precedents would compound the harm.

## **Deficiencies in the Ballinla Noise Impact Assessment**

The Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) submitted for the Proposed Development fails to convincingly demonstrate that noise impacts will be negligible. While it references compliance with the 2006 Guidelines, the baseline surveys appear limited to short-term measurements during favourable weather, potentially underestimating worst-case scenarios like those experienced at Cloncreen. Key shortcomings include:

• Inadequate Receptor Mapping: Sensitive noise receptors (dwellings within 1 km) are not ②ully mapped against prevailing wind directions, ignoring how downwind propagation can ampli②y low-③requency noise over distances greater than modelled.

- Lack of Cumulative Assessment: The EIAR does not sufficiently analyse cumulative noise 3rom Ballinla alongside Cloncreen and other nearby installations (e.g., Derries or Meenwaun), where combined effects could push levels beyond the 5 dB(A) threshold 3br significance.
- Absence of Independent Verification: No provision for post-construction monitoring by a third-party acoustician, despite calls from residents for such measures in light of Cloncreen's unresolved issues.
- Over-Reliance on Predictive Modelling: Tools like WindFarmer so ware may not capture aerodynamic modulation or terrain effects in the undulating Coolestown landscape, leading to optimistic predictions that do not reflect real-world distress.

These gaps render the noise chapter on the EIAR unfit for purpose and necessitate a full revision, including on-site verification at Cloncreen as a prerequisite for any decision on Ballinla.

## **Broader Community and Environmental Concerns**

Beyond noise, the Proposed Development poses risks to visual amenity in a valued rural setting, potential hydrological disruptions to local peatlands, and biodiversity loss or bird species using migratory corridors near the River Brosna. Community benefit unds, while welcome, do not offset the irreversible intrusion on our quality or live. As a resident who cherishes the quiet or Offaly's countryside, I have this project will transform peaceful townlands into industrial zones, echoing the "destroyed homes" lamented by Cloncreen neighbours.

## **Conclusion and Recommendation**

In light oon the regoing, I strongly object to the granting oon planning permission for the Ballinla Wind Farm. The Board's approval must prioritise the protection oon human health and residential amenity over unchecked renewable expansion. I request that An Coimisiún Pleanála:

- 1. Commission an independent review old Cloncreen's noise compliance, including resident-led monitoring.
- 2. Require the applicant to submit a revised EIAR with robust cumulative noise modelling and mitigation commitments.
- 3. Convene a public oral hearing to allow affected parties, including mysel to present evidence ol Cloncreen's impacts.
- 4. Re?use permission unless these steps demonstrate no @oreseeable exceedance o?unoise limits or resident harm.

I am available to provide Aurther details or attend any hearings and can be contacted at the above details. Thank you for considering this submission in your deliberations. I trust the Board will act decisively to safeguard our community.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Rowan

rowanalan@gmail.com; 087 9396088